What does the murder of a journalist, and follow-up events, tell us about freedom of the press and politics in a European country?
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ABSTRACT: In February 2018, Slovakia’s long history of the absence of journalist murder cases ended, when a young investigative journalist, Ján Kuciak, and his fiancée were murdered in their home. While previous cases of the disappearance of journalists cannot be totally dissociated from the possibilities of murder, a lack of evidence qualified this case as the first. The cascade of events which followed further emphasise its importance. Prime Minister Robert Fico was forced to resign. Resignations of the Minister of Culture, almost immediately, and two Ministers of the Interior followed. Subsequently, the third nominee for the position of Minister of the Interior was not approved by the President. These events were largely influenced by the media and public protests on the streets — some demonstrations were larger than those conducted during anti-communist protests in late 1989. Consequently, the role of the media as the key political actor following the murder of the journalist, represents an ideal model for analysing the influence of media in political and societal change.
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INTRODUCTION

Ján Kuciak, a young Slovak data investigative journalist and his fiancée, Martina Kušnirová, were murdered on February 21, 2018, in their home in Slovakia. This was the second case of the murder of an investigative journalist in the European Union (EU) in a year. The first involved a car explosion which killed Daphne Caruana Galizia, a Maltese journalist and blogger, whose investigations focused on political corruption in late 2017.

These two murder cases shared a few similarities. Firstly, it remains unclear who was behind them. It is worth mentioning that both governments invited foreign
experts to help with the investigations into both murders. Secondly, each of the
governments of the respective countries of the journalists, announced a one million
euro reward for the provision of information which would facilitate the identifica-
tion — and sentencing, for the Slovak case — of persons who were involved in the
murder.\textsuperscript{1} Thirdly, the investigations of each of the murdered journalists, seemed
to involve an underlying political factor: high-level political corruption. Daphne
Caruana Galizia made repeated and detailed corruption allegations against the
inner circle of Prime Minister Joseph Muscat. Similarly, Ján Kuciak's unfinished
article, and the last before his demise, suggested connections between the local
mafia and the inner circle of Prime Minister Robert Fico (see Kuciak, 2018). In
both cases, the allegations were not proven. However, that is not enough to preclude
the existence of political corruption. There are also important differences to note.
The more direct and long-term politicised media framing of Galizia's investigative
work, due to allegations she made, characterises a significant contrast between the
Maltese case and its Slovak counterpart — even Prime Minister Muscat declared
that Galizia was “his biggest adversary” (Pulse, 2017). The Slovak case was initially
predominantly linked to an alleged local east Slovakian regional mafia-type net-
work and its indirect connections to the government, but the identity of the pos-
sible perpetrators kept changing. The posthumous publishing of Kuciak's tentative
investigative work provides a possible explanation for this pattern. Yet surprisingly,
neither public protests nor political consequences in Malta reached intensities com-
parable to what was observed in Slovakia. Paradoxically, while Galizia could be
seen as one of the most prominent journalists and bloggers in her country, Kuciak
was virtually unknown. Inferentially, protests in Slovakia were independent of the
journalist in question and possibly minimally related to his specific investigations.
Instead, Kuciak's murder was seen as a symbolic event of special importance. The
initial framing of the murder of Kuciak and the subsequent events related to select-
ed media constitute the foremost issues to be discussed in this article in detail. The
possible impact of both murders on EU common policies towards the protection
of investigative journalists cannot be excluded. The changing paradigm of policies,
especially of the EU parliament towards what was traditionally seen more or less as “cultural” and internal affairs of member states after the murder of journalists
within the EU, deserves analytical attention, although it will not be discussed in
detail in this article (see European Parliament, 2014–2019, 2018) Similarly, fur-
ther detailed comparisons between the Slovak and Maltese cases will not be made
here. Both issues deserve separate articles.

However, the alleged lack of freedom of public service media (PSM) or the
Radio and Television of Slovakia (RTVS), and negative trends in this direction in-
cluding the politicisation of PSM are worth discussing too. The framing of certain
events and public statements as deep crises of PSM gained attention at home and

\textsuperscript{1} Actually, the Slovak government was inspired here by the Maltese government.
abroad soon after the double murder. As an example, the author of this article was approached by five foreign journalists who were interested in the freedom of the media in Slovakia in general, and in PSM in particular (see Školkay, 2018b). Kuciak, however, worked for a foreign-owned private news portal and not for PSM. Nevertheless, the politicisation of PSM was increasingly and explicitly presented in public-media discourse — especially by two liberal-right media (Denník N, Sme). Within this broader politico-criminal context, the dissatisfaction expressed by 59 journalists in PSM was wrongly and successfully framed by some media and public activists as a heroic revolt, against the ongoing and slow suppression of freedom of the media in PSM (see Prokopčák & Krbatová, 2018). Additionally, this framing influenced many public figures and analysts who publicly supported clearly wrong narratives. Consequently, the ability of some analysts and public figures to offer an unbiased account of events remains in question and the wrong interpretation of ongoing trends may lead to unexpected consequences. Indeed, this framing supported by public outcry, even though not substantiated by facts, erupted into a full-blown crisis of PSM about two months after the murder and the director general of RTVS had to report the situation to the Permanent Committee for Culture and Media of the Slovak Parliament. Of course, the argument can be made that murder, as an ultimate suppression of critics and criticism, reveals trends which hitherto may have been suppressed before public eyes. This article argues that the framing of follow-up events in Slovakia presented by some key local and foreign media or journalists was wrong (see Školkay, 2018a).

The third issue notable for discussion is the causal relationship between the murder of Kuciak and the significant political changes which followed — this merges the two previous issues. Selected state authorities demonstrated increased responsiveness to claims of injustice and illegal activities, especially those concerning the office of the prosecutor general, when reported in the media. Long-term nationwide public protests in Slovakia following the double murder led to a government crisis, complicated by calls (supported by some media) for early parliamentary elections and the subsequent resignation of Prime Minister Robert Fico. Subsequent resignees included, among others, Robert Kaliňák, Tomáš Drucker, Tibor Gašpar and Marek Maďarič — the Minister of the Interior, his replacement, President of the Police Force and the Minister of Culture respectively. Symbolic signalling of the politico-criminal background of the murder is further provided by the resignation of Robert Krajmer, Director of the National Anti-Corruption Unit of the National Criminal Agency, under media pressure to do so. Krajmer made an appearance at the crime scene, although there was no reason for him to do so.

The events are also perceived to have led to possible changes in the top management of PSM. While the link between Kuciak’s murder and its dire political consequences is surprising, especially without evidence-based implications of the prime minister and the government, it is apparent that the media is a major catalyst for political change. Resignations which were not directly influenced by the media...
were driven by the huge wave of nationwide protests it ignited in one way or the other. The case of the minister of culture emphasises that there were selected self-initiated resignations which were purely of a symbolic nature. Nevertheless, these events strengthen the argument that the media can substitute the state authorities — police, prosecutors, and courts — in their watchdog and supervisory activities. The murder was the final impetus which put together the various grievances from the public. Consequently, the media served as the channel to air these grievances and demands public, although certain elements were misleading — the media concurrently served as vigilant watchdog and the multiplier of public outcry. A minor section of the media and some journalists, however, moved further in their roles — they became political actors on their own when they exaggerated a minor internal crisis within PSM. This minor conflict between professional and managerial values morphed into a scandal of nationwide proportions.

Although the actors behind the murder cases remain unknown (save for the killers themselves), it is obvious that the investigative work of journalists and bloggers can sometimes be seen by faulters — which may include politicians and other public figures — as a larger threat than the work of the police and other state authorities. This supported allegations of the involvement of politicians, public personalities, and government officials in the Kuciak case. Subsequently, public protests with the official buzzwords “For a decent Slovakia” became ultimate modalities for the explicit demand for a “fair” and “decent” state; a hoped-for deviation from what was currently seen as a “captured state”.

Other issues caused national and international uproar. As an example, the approach Slovak police used to demand data from a Czech colleague of Kuciak — the temporary confiscation of her smartphone with the claim that data stored on it was needed for investigations — attracted international attention. Even though some foreign lawyers did not see this request as particularly unusual (see Kotalík, 2018) it was locally conspicuous in two ways — it was unfair at best, and was fuelled by a negative intention (see Jakubčo, 2018) to obtain contacts to protected sources of investigative journalists, at worst. The sensitivity of the media, public, and authorities to accusations, and their promptness in undertaking measures suggest that Slovakia became a “mediacracy” — the media enjoyed a significant influence on politics and state authorities. The perspectives and coverage of these events following the double murder justify the relevance of the story for a wider international audience.

**METHODOLOGY**

Various methodological approaches were employed for this study. Firstly, content analysis of the coverage of events following the double murder by selected media was conducted. The general focus was on the initial media framing of the murder of Kuciak and the analysis conducted by Tóth (2018) was utilised. It covered commentaries on journalistic texts published by the key media platforms and presented on
Facebook — almost 50,000 commentaries covering eight days after the murder. Secondly, other media sources, including news portal aktuality.sk, conservative-Christian news portal postoj.sk, and the leftist news commentary portal noveslovo.sk were analysed. Kuciak worked for aktuality.sk, and the news-commentary portal noveslovo.sk was close to Smer-SD. The blog section of the newspaper Denník N, which has most of its featured content from liberal-minded contributors, was also included for analysis. The selection of media sources and blogs was based on ideological orientation. In contrast with aktuality.sk and postoj.sk, noveslovo.sk demonstrated coverage which was solely focused on analyses and commentaries — there was no left-wing leaning news portal in Slovakia. Analysis focused on content which covered the first four weeks subsequent to the police announcement of the murder — the reason for the murder and the actors behind it were key interests. Subsequently, the answers to key questions were categorised according to the analysed journal and blog contents, and a week-long analysis of the personalisation of events was done pending presentation. Thirdly, an analysis was conducted on what was perceived as the “politicisation of PSM”, and sources of evidence were assessed to validate it. The assessment of the employment background of signatories of the public call which supported the allegation of journalist revolt in PSM, was key to conclusions made on this subject. Finally, the study researched an explanation for the causal relationship between the murder and the magnitude of political consequences which were observed. This analytical part was based on historical comparisons with similar events. Before engaging into case study analysis, some introduction into the theoretical context of media — politics relations, confronted with some empirical findings, might be useful.

THE MEDIA AND POLITICS: A SHORT NORMATIVE THEORY AND VERY BASIC EMPIRICAL FINDINGS

The normative theories of the media in a liberal democracy assume that free media should provide citizens with sufficient information and analyses, as well as freely and independently scrutinise holders of political power. There are a few specific roles associated with this public mission (“watchdog” role) according to arguably the most relevant and relatively recent key study on this topic by Christians, Glasser, McQuail, Nordenstreng and White (2009). It should be mentioned that the previous most cited summary of these roles or functions of the media go back half a century, although under slightly different keywords and concepts, in the 1956 classic Four Theories of the Press by Siebert, Peterson and Schramm (1956). In between, there were many studies that either “revisited” four theories or went “beyond” them.

Firstly, there is the Monitorial Role, that is, the process of observing an environment for relevant information about events, conditions, trends, and threats. Secondly, there is the Facilitative Role, when public opinion should arise from deliberation...
and is not antecedent to it. There is the Radical Role, when journalism makes every effort to ensure that no injustice is ever tolerated and there is an effort to eliminate concentrations of social power to enable every person to participate equally in social and political life. Finally, there is the Collaborative Role that implies a symbiotic relationship between media (including private media) and state authorities in times of emergency or need (Christians et al., 2009).

However, practically, there are often high expectations but low achievements. This discrepancy can be the result of external or internal factors, either at macro, mezzo or micro levels. For example, at the macro level, the role of free media in fighting corruption — identified arguably as one the most important factors detrimental to proper functioning of liberal democracies — differs depending on whether the country is considered a well, newly, or non-established electoral democracy. Consequently, the effect of press freedom on corruption starts off negatively or insignificantly for countries with very low levels of democracy, and becomes more positive the more democratic a country is (Färdigh et al., 2011). From this perspective, and considering the 2018 events, one can wonder how could one see Slovakia as a “consolidated” democracy (see Freedom House, 2018).

Be that as it may, at mezzo level, Sikorski’s (2017) meta-review research, in particular, revealed that structurally, in relation to ownership structures, partisanship of a news organisation and the competitive context tend to influence news coverage about political scandals. In general, the media neglect most corruption, providing selectively too little, and not too much scandal coverage (Entman, 2012; Školkay & Ištoková, 2016). Finally, at micro level, the collaborative role can turn into collusion among politicians and the media or a journalist (Školkay, 2016b).

Within this normative context, confronted with challenges journalists and the media face, it should be mentioned that there are arguments in favour of a new international instrument targeting the harassment of and violence against journalists (Draghici & Woods, 2018).

The present study documents both positive achievements of an individual journalist (i.e., the successful monitorial roles of Kuciak at the micro-level), but also provides evidence of a failure of some media and journalists at the mezzo-level. This occurred when some media and some journalists turned their positive normative radical role into its negative extreme. This was the case of politicisation of internal conflict within PSM into a nationwide scandal on part of some media and journalists. If successful, this literary radical role could lead to unforeseen negative consequences for PSM and, possibly, indirectly, for the society at large. In effect, this could in all likelihood transpose into highly negative macro-level roles of the media and journalists. In other words, good intentions could turn into negative consequences. In fact, some nucleus negative tendencies of media coverage could be seen already in the initial framing of the murder of the journalist, attributing veracity to virtually all politically far-reaching claims in the posthumously published article by Kuciak.
WHAT WAS THE INITIAL FRAMING OF THE MURDER OF JÁN KUCIAK?

The first data set (Tóth, 2018) showed the absence of a significant mention of “press freedom” or “PSM”, and the dominance of the keywords “Fico”, “Smer”, and “mafia” — representing Robert Fico, his party, and the local mafia respectively — emphasised an indirect involvement of the government and the prime minister in the murder.

This argument is based on three observations. The first is based on allegations about suspicious contacts of some employees of the prime minister’s office — Mária Trošková, officially identified as occupying the position of “public servant” and Viliam Jasaň, who served in the position of the secretary of the Security Council. These were based on Kuciak’s last and unpublished writing, and presented by the media immediately after the murder. The second was based on Fico’s harsh words towards journalists in the past. The final observation was made from a reflection on state authorities perceived to have been captured under the current government. The perceived absence of fair governance, or the presence of a “captured state”, was seen as a major indirect threat for press freedom and journalism safety in Slovakia — the murder of Kuciak served as key evidence. An argument can be made to the effect that if authorities and politicians fulfilled their responsibilities, they would influence responsible conduct of stakeholders in better ways than the media (see Šebo, 2018). Concurrently, if authorities and politicians publicly provided the media with adequate support, journalists would be safer from criminal targeting. Until Kuciak’s murder, authorities were perceived as slow and inefficient, with interests focused on covering up criminal activities of privileged elites. Intermittently, the media and journalists found actions by state authorities including prosecutors and the courts, and public statements by the sitting prime minister as a form of harassment.

The second figure is based on the content analysis of three media platforms, and supports the aforementioned arguments. The most mentions are attributed to the Prime Minister Roberto Fico, and the Minister of the Interior Robert Kaliňák, in...
descending order. An unexpected rise for George Soros reflects a defensive strategy undertaken by the prime minister — his endeavour to link public protests to an international conspiracy.

Figure 2. Persons mentioned in media reporting (in numbers)
Source: Author.

Figure 3. Number of articles
Source: Author.
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Analysis of the number of contributions from each of the sources of accessed content also revealed important patterns. The study found 359 articles from aktuality.sk, 107 articles from postoj.sk, 27 articles from noveslovo.sk, and 219 contributions from the blog section of daily Denník N. The disparity between the contribution counts of noveslovo.sk and aktuality.sk, which recorded the lowest and highest number of contributions respectively, emphasise the politico-ideological connotations of the case. This is further supported by the slow decline in the number of journalistic contributions and the concurrent peak increase in commentaries in blog sections, during the second week after the murder.

The collection of reasons — or rather assumptions and opinions — for the occurrence of the murder constituted another important interest (Figure 4 and Table 1). In the majority of contributions, reasons for the murder were missing. This is not strange considering the dictates of news reporting — repetitions of news items are not favoured. Nevertheless, some deviations in coverage were noticed even within the limited sample of articles, which provided reasons or assumptions regarding what or who was behind the murder. The most notable uncertainty was attributed to insignificant mentions of the issue by noveslovo.sk. On the other hand, the conservative news portal and aktuality.sk were judged as the most opinionated, in descending order. These provided marginal narratives on the investigative work of Kuciak.

![Figure 4. Why did this happen? Coverage by news portal aktuality.sk (in numbers)](image)

Source: Author.
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Table 1. Why did this happen? Uncertain/unknown opinions and the rest as presented by news portal aktuality.sk (in percent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Without the item uncertain/unknown opinion</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>2nd week</th>
<th>3rd week</th>
<th>4th week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain/unknown opinion</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author.

Figure 5. Why did this happen? Coverage by blogs at Denník N (in numbers)

Source: Author.

Table 2. Why did this happen? Uncertain/unknown opinions and the rest as presented by blogs at Denník N (in percent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Without the item uncertain/unknown opinion</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>2nd week</th>
<th>3rd week</th>
<th>4th week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain/unknown opinion</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining</td>
<td>86.2%</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author.
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**Table 3. Why did this happen? Uncertain/unknown opinions and the rest as presented by online daily postoj.sk (in percent)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Without the item uncertain/unknown opinion</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>2nd week</th>
<th>3rd week</th>
<th>4th week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain/unknown opinion</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author.

**Figure 6. Why did this happen? Coverage by online daily postoj.sk (in numbers)**

Source: Author.

**Figure 7. Why did this happen? Coverage by leftist portal noveslovo.sk (in numbers)**

Source: Author.
Table 4. Why did this happen? Uncertain/unknown opinions and the rest as presented by leftist portal noveslovo.sk (in percent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Without the item uncertain/unknown opinion</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>2nd week</th>
<th>3rd week</th>
<th>4th week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain/unknown opinion</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author.

WHY WAS THERE A CRISIS IN PSM?

Now we turn to the issue of the crisis in PSM, which illustrates how a misleading interpretation of facts may lead to a full-blown institutional crisis. Such a crisis, based on wrong claims, can occur under normal circumstances even though it is obviously more likely to happen under emotionally stressful nationwide or near-emergency situations. Although there were two contradicting explanations available on the crisis of PSM, the more radical and misleading tended to influence politicians to a larger extent. The first explanation supported the hypothetical politicisation of PSM in Slovakia (see SME Domov, 2018). In contrast, the second explanation related it to the change of management and the routine alignment of the operations of the institution with its legitimate and legal policies. Both groups argued that they work under free conditions, although in certain ways, conflict evolved into extreme and public dimensions. Within this context, three active opinion groups become visibly involved in the process which shaped the public discourse. The most vocal group, unofficially led by 29-year-old Zuzana Kovačič-Hanzelová, consisted of about 300 loosely-connected non-journalists and journalists out of an estimated count of more than 3,000 and up to 5,000 journalists in Slovakia2 (see interview in Mikušovič, 2018b). They included 200 journalists from other media who supported revolting PSM journalists (Viac ako 170…, 2018), 59 journalists and reporters from PSM and 50 literary journalists and technical employees of PSM who were keen on demanding fair treatment in support of their colleagues — they also emphasised the non-existence of negative labour or editorial relations in their work (V RTVS zverejnili…, 2018). The second included a group of 40 PSM journalists who refuted all claims of politicisation of PSM, and the signatories included Tibor Macák, General Secretary of the Association of European Journalists (Ďalší otvorený list…, 2018). This group can be regarded as comprising of a majority of “silent” journalists who did not support the revolting journalists. Under the exceptional circumstances which followed the murder of their colleague, they certainly would not be afraid

---

2 There is no official definition of a journalist — practically anyone can become or claim to be a journalist.
to speak and protest openly. In fact, some of them spoke, but clearly refuted politi-
cisation of PSM and further questioned the motivation and professionalism of the
revolting journalists (see Múčka & Hanus, 2018). The third group included public
intellectuals and activists, sometimes representing institutions, who issued vari-
ous statements in support of the first group (Vyhlásenie Fakulty masmédii…, 2018;
Vyhlásenie Filozofickej fakulty…, 2018; Vyhlásenie pedagógov Vysokej…, 2018).

Figure 8 demonstrates an important pattern. Out of more than 200 journalists
who signed the petition supporting 59 PSM journalists, almost half came from two
liberal-right newspapers — Sme (We Are) and Denník N (Newspaper N). Although
these are among the most reputable, critical, and agile journalists in Slovakia, they
are predominantly liberals living in their “bubbles” and have a tendency of assess-
ing cases in an unconventional radico-libertarian way which might not align with
reality. Additionally, the lack of a majority support for their call raises a red flag.

Findings from the detailed analysis of PSM staff contradict the notion that a sig-
nificant number were involved in the alleged revolt. The number of protestors out
of 1,450 full-time employees including 250 reporters, and an additionally estimated
1,500 part-time employees and contractual co-workers, was found to be low. Some
of the grievances listed in the initial letter are worth mentioning. The first was about
distrust towards mid-level management — particularly three senior editors who
had previously worked at various governmental press departments. The second was
about the lack of a mechanism to prevent possible conflict of interest in coverage
cases of journalists being sacked, demoted or transferred after they had expressed
critical views towards the management. The third was about pressure from man-
agement to balance news reports with opinions of persons known to lack expertise,
breed disinformation, or entertain political ambitions. Nonetheless, the letter ex-
plicitly mentioned — rather paradoxically — that signatories worked under free
conditions. An article published in Denník N, one of the two liberal newspapers at
the peak of the crisis, admitted that there was not a single case of political interven-
tion from top management of PSM since a new director-general had been elected
in the previous year (Mikušovič, 2018a). This alternative explanation was supported
by a second group of 40 equally respected journalists, reporters, and anchors who
worked at PSM. As previously mentioned, they issued a counterstatement refuting
the claims made by the initial letter. There is also a case to be made that if the allega-
tions of politicisation were in fact true, more than 300 journalists would be willing
to provide their endorsement.

According to findings from a survey carried out between June 28 and July 5,
2018 (i.e., a month after the peak of crisis in PSM), a representation of 63.7% of over
1,000 respondents trusted PSM. In contrast, private media were trusted by only
42.6% of respondents (TASR, 2018). Consequently, if there was a highly politicised
PSM broadcast, it is very likely that the public would have noticed differences. In
fact, the opposite seemed to be the case. A more realistic presentation of the con-
flict, which was framed as a press freedom issue by some public or professional
voices, was concerned with the professional and managerial norms regarding who was meant to run public media, and what its “public service mission” was meant to be. This was complicated by the characteristic dominance of liberal and centre-right opinions, from the most vocal among the best print media journalists in Slovakia. They demonstrated long-term anti-Fico tendencies, especially with the negativity their coverage portrayed towards him (Školkay, 2016a). This has been linked to historical issues relating to the communist past and post-communist political transition in Slovakia. Obviously, some of those critical voices aimed at PSM did not recognise certain previous ethical failures of their colleagues (see Školkay, 2016c). The third group, comprising prominent advocates and voices supporting press freedom in Slovakia, included the Faculty for Mass Media of the Pan-European University, the Faculty of the Arts at Comenius University, a watchdog portal known as omediach.com, and selected politicians in the opposition. Notwithstanding, prominent advocates for press freedom are not always able to understand the actual background of ongoing events and differentiate between important issues. Consequently, their support may be misguided and result in failure, as was evident in this case.

Figure 8. Employment analysis of supporters of an open letter in favour of revolting journalists in PSM (in percent)

Source: Author.
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WHY DID THE MURDER OF A JOURNALIST LEAD TO POLITICAL CONSEQUENCES?

It is not a coincidence that Slovak news portals collectively reported 1.53 million of “daily unique real users (RU) visitors” on Monday, March 12, 2018. This day marks the resignation announcement of Robert Kaliňák, the Minister of the Interior. The previous record for the highest internet traffic, 1.429 million RU, occurred a day after parliamentary elections in March 2016 (MediaBrifing, 2018). This suggests that the news about the resignation of the minister of the interior was of paramount importance for the public at large — inferentially, it was more important than the results of parliamentary elections. The heightened interest towards the resignation of the Minister of the Interior and other political sequelae of the murder, raises critical questions. It is notable that in the last 50 years of Slovakia — including the period of being part of Czechoslovakia — there has been a seemingly recurring sociological pattern. A major social upheaval was facilitated by what was seen as a “political” murder, irrespective of whether it was alleged or not, within the period of a new generation. Similarly, co-operation among social and political groups or individuals, hitherto isolated, was facilitated by the same events and perception which governed the argument that state authorities, including courts, prosecutors, and police, were politicised or captured.

Havel (1990, pp. 51–52) explained that the turning point for the dissident movement in erstwhile Czechoslovakia was a court case with The Plastic People of the Universe, a music band, in early 1976. This political court case led to the establishment of cooperation among various opposing groups. There was also international support, in the form of an international human rights covenant signed in Helsinki by the communist government, a few months earlier. Havel also indicated that a similar situation happened in Czechoslovakia in the 1950s. He pointed out that in both cases, there were new generations that grew up under a new regime and entered a confrontation with it. In the former case relating to the music band, new actors realised that a legal action against young and unknown musicians, was a substitute act. In other words, it was an act which could happen to everyone. Interestingly, even the Velvet Revolution in 1989 got its impetus from the public uproar over the alleged death of a student during a demonstration in Prague on November 17, 1989. Similarly, the isolated dissident groups in Slovakia consented to closer contact and better co-operation, only after the court case with five dissidents in Slovakia in the autumn of 1989, and its related public events (Antalová, 1999). Here too, the international context — period of Glasnost and Perestrojka in the erstwhile Soviet Union, as well as events in neighbouring communist countries — was an important factor. From this historical perspective, the murder of Kuciak can be placed in the same category of turning-point events in Slovak history. There was a new generation which was actively organising follow-up protests in response to the allegations of the capture of state authorities, and Kuciak’s murder served as a unifying moment for all other disappointed protest voices against the government. The international environment was also supporting positive intervention. This time there were various European institutions that were providing support...
for independent media and civil society actors. There were many public protests in
the previous year demanding adequate investigation of the dubious business activities
of some persons. Although these implicated the prime minister and minister of the
interior, they did not yield any recognisable results. Evidently, Kuciak’s murder was
the catalyst for the large wave of political changes and higher sensitivity of authorities
towards claims of wrongdoing.

CONCLUSION

The murder of Kuciak resulted in a black-swan phenomenon in Slovakia — no one ex-
pected it, but it facilitated important and swift political changes in the country. At the
moment of writing, a “mediacracy” has emerged. The media, including social media,
has become a significant influence on the public and political agenda as a result of the
assassination of Ján Kuciak and his fiancée. This “mediacracy” was largely facilitated
by public protests — these collectively represent an unprecedented magnitude in the
25-year history of post-independence Slovakia. While media and journalists can cre-
ate and contribute to exaggerated and unsubstantiated scandals such as the case with
PSM, the positive outcomes subsequent to media efforts in pushing authorities to act,
and in given cases forcing politicians and other suspected prominent actors to resign,
is commendable. These endeavours of the media and journalists may help to correct
some excesses — including the rather slow responsiveness, reluctant approaches of
public authorities in some cases, and attempts to suppress cases of cronyism and cor-
rupption present in politics. However, checks for objectivity and vigilance must be in-
stituted to mitigate purposeless, counterproductive, or false criticism — an absence of
such checks may be counter-intuitive and facilitate outcomes which otherwise must
be prevented. Inferentially, an argument can be made in favour of a reversed politi-
cisation of the media in Slovakia — the media and some journalists de facto became
political actors. This represents an unusual deviation from the situation in neighbouring
Poland or Hungary, although the opposite is perceived by some observers. Clearly,
this was also a deviation from normative theories too — although perhaps justified,
or understandable by specific conditions after the murder of a journalist, and within
the general context of a partially captured state.

Moreover, different interpretations of an event can be made depending on who
provides a report. Clearly, there is no guarantee that explanations for an event from
Slovakia’s most reputable and renowned journalists, academicians, and civil society
activists will always be factual. This questions the veracity of journalistic and even
academic articles based on interviews. Furthermore, media coverage of the same
event may be rather diverse. Indeed, journalism has only been considered as the
first draft of history — usually, journalism does not have a strong impact on politics.
The tragic death of Kuciak and the resultant change in the course of history sets the
foundation for a realisation of the larger, but exceptional, and most likely only a
temporary influence of the media on political and societal change.
What does the murder of a journalist, and follow-up events, tell us about freedom of the press?
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