PROBABILITY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS Vol. 30, Fasc. 2 (2010), pp. 207–214

# q-ANALOGS OF ORDER STATISTICS

#### BY

## WOJCIECH KORDECKI (WROCŁAW) AND ANNA ŁYCZKOWSKA-HANĆKOWIAK (POZNAŃ)

Abstract. We introduce the notion of the q-analog of the k-th order statistics. We give a distribution and asymptotic distributions of q-analogs of the k-th order statistics and the intermediate order statistics with  $r \to \infty$  and  $r - k \to \infty$  in the projective geometry PG(r - 1, q).

**2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 60G70; Secondary: 51E20.

Key words and phrases: Order statistics, limit theorems, *q*-analog, finite projective geometry.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main results of this paper are asymptotic distributions of the q-analogs of the k-th minimal order statistics (Theorem 2.1) and the intermediate order statistics (Theorem 2.2). Theorem 2.2 generalizes the Theorem from [5] and Fact 3 from [6]. This paper is an extension (with full proofs) of the results announced in [7].

Let GF(q) be a Galois field, where q is the power of prime. Let V(r,q) be an r-dimensional vector space over GF(q). There exists a one-to-one correspondence between k-dimensional subspaces of projective geometry PG(r-1,q) and k-dimensional subspaces of the space V(r,q). "Directions" in V(r,q) are points of the projective geometry PG(r-1,q) of dimension r-1. The subspace of dimension k-1 has the rank k. For example, a line has a dimension one, but it has a rank two. Let  $\sigma(A)$  denote the subspace spanned by A, i.e. the smallest subspace including A. Let  $\rho(A)$  denote the rank of  $\sigma(A)$ . The monograph by Hirschfeld [2] gives a detailed exposition of this subject; see also [9] or [11]. Let q be fixed and n be a nonnegative integer. We use the standard notation  $[n] = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ (see, for example, [3] or [4]). It is well known (see [2]) that the projective geometry PG(r-1,q) has [r] elements.

Projective geometries can be defined in an axiomatic way. A *projective geometry* satisfies the following axioms:

(1) Any two distinct points are on exactly one line.

(2) Let x, y, w, z be four distinct points such that no three points are collinear. If xy intersects zw, then xz intersects yw.

(3) Each line contains at least three points.

Every geometry of dimension r - 1 > 2 is isomorphic to PG(r - 1, q) defined as above.

In the area of combinatorics and special functions, a q-analog is a theorem or identity in the variable q that gives back a known result in the limit, as  $q \rightarrow 1$ . The earliest q-analog studied in detail is the basic hypergeometric series, which was introduced in the 19th century. q-analog, also called q-extension or q-generalization, is a mathematical expression parameterized by a quantity q and [n] instead of n, which generalizes a known expression and reduces to a known expression in the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ . Since  $[n] \rightarrow n$ , if (formally)  $q \rightarrow 1$ , then [n] is the q-analog of a number n (see [1] or [10]). In the case when q is the power of prime, the subspaces of rank k in PG(r-1,q) are q-analogs of k-element sets. In such a meaning, our results are the q-analogs of known ones in the theory of extremal order statistics.

Let a sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be given. We define the order statistics  $Z_k^{(n)}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , as random variables which are functions of random vector  $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$  defined as follows. For any event  $\omega$ , we arrange a sequence of realizations  $X_1(\omega) = x_1, X_2(\omega) = x_2, \ldots, X_n(\omega) = x_n$  in a non-decreasing sequence  $z_1 \leq z_2 \leq \ldots \leq z_n$ . In this sequence,  $z_k$  is the realization of the random variables  $Z_k^{(n)}$ , i.e.  $Z_k^{(n)}(\omega) = z_k$ . For a fixed integer k, the random variables  $Z_k^{(n)}$  are the k-th minimal order statistics and the random variables  $Z_{n-k+1}^{(n)}$  are the k-th maximal order statistics.

For the case of the projective geometry PG(r-1,q) we shall take n = [r]. Let  $\{X_e\}$  be independent, identically distributed random variables with distribution function F(x) and assigned to elements of PG(r-1,q). Let us order the elements  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{[r]}$  of PG(r-1,q) so that  $e_i$  has weight  $Z_i$ . Let  $(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_r)$  be a subsequence of the sequence  $(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n)$  such that  $Y_i^{(n)} = Z_{k_i}^{(n)}$  (for simplicity of the notation we sometimes write  $Y_i$  or  $Z_{k_i}$ ) and  $k_i$  is the least index with  $e_{k_i} \notin \sigma\{e_{k_1}, e_{k_2}, \ldots, e_{k_{i-1}}\}$ . Note that  $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 2$ , i.e.  $Y_1 = Z_1$ ,  $Y_2 = Z_2$  and  $k_i \ge i$  for  $i \ge 3$ . The random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_r$  will be called the q-analogs of the order statistics.

For the better clarity of further formulas, we consider (k + 1)-st order statistics,  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ , instead of k-th one,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let F(x) be a distribution function of a random variable  $X_k$ . Then q-analog of the (k + 1)-st order statistics,  $k \ge 0$ , has distribution function

$$\Pr(Y_{k+1}^{(n)} > x) = \sum_{m=k}^{[k]} \left( \left(\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}\right) \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-m} \sum_{t=0}^{m} \binom{n}{t} (F(x))^t (1-F(x))^{n-t} \right).$$

Proof. Note that

(1.1) 
$$p_1 = \frac{\lfloor k \rfloor - l}{\lceil r \rceil - l}$$

is a probability that a point belongs to the space spanned by l earlier points, because [k] denotes the number of elements of rank-k space, [r] is a number of all elements and l means the number of earlier chosen elements. Then

(1.2) 
$$p_2 = \prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}$$

is a probability that successively chosen points belong to a space determined by earlier chosen points, so we have to choose a next point, and

(1.3) 
$$p_3 = \frac{[r] - [k]}{[r] - m}$$

is a probability that an *m*-th point does not belong to a space determined by earlier points, i.e. it spans a space of higher dimension, and

(1.4) 
$$p_{4,t} = \binom{n}{t} (F(x))^t (1 - F(x))^{n-t}$$

are probabilities that exactly t points have weights smaller than x. Combining (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) we conclude that

$$p_1 p_2 p_3 \sum_{t=1}^m p_{4,t} = \left(\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}\right) \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-m} \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{n}{t} (F(x))^t (1-F(x))^{n-t}$$

is a probability that m is an index of a point with the smallest weight, which does not belong to rank-k space, spanned by earlier chosen points.

## 2. LIMIT DISTRIBUTIONS

In this section, using known results concerning simple order statistics and limit distributions of random subsets of finite projective spaces, we will find limit distribution of *q*-analogs of order statistics.

We standardize random variables  $Z_k^{(n)}$  as follows:

$$\widetilde{Z}_k^{(n)} = \frac{Z_k^{(n)} - b_n}{a_n}$$

with constants  $a_n > 0$ ,  $b_n$  appropriately chosen, k fixed, and n increasing infinitely. N. W. Smirnov (see, for example, [8]) has shown that nondegenerate asymptotic distributions of the normalized k-th minimal order statistics  $\widetilde{Z}_k^{(n)}$  can be of three types only:

(2.1) 
$$\Psi_{1}^{(k)}(x) = 1 - P(k, \exp(x)), \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

(2.2) 
$$\Psi_2^{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \le 0, \\ 1 - P(k, x^{\alpha}), & x \ge 0, \alpha > 0, \end{cases}$$

(2.3) 
$$\Psi_3^{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - P(k, (-x)^{-\alpha}), & x < 0, \alpha > 0, \\ 1, & x \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

where

(2.4) 
$$P(k,\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} \exp\left(-\lambda\right), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Now we investigate a limit behaviour of a q-analog of the k-th minimal order statistics.

THEOREM 2.1. For independent random variables with a distribution F(x) a distribution of a q-analog of the k-th order statistics when  $n \to \infty$  is given by

(2.5) 
$$\Pr\left(\frac{Y_{k+1}^{(n)} - b_n}{a_n} < x\right) \to \Psi_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where a function  $\Psi$  is defined by formulas (2.1)–(2.3).

Proof. Replacing x by  $a_n x + b_n$  in Proposition 1.1 we get

$$(2.6) \quad \Pr\left(\frac{Y_{k+1}^{(n)} - b_n}{a_n} > x\right) \\ = \sum_{m=k}^{[k]} \left( \left(\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k] - l}{[r] - l}\right) \frac{[r] - [k]}{[r] - m} \right) \\ \times \sum_{t=0}^{m} \binom{n}{t} \left(F(a_n x + b_n)\right)^t \left(1 - F(a_n x + b_n)\right)^{n-t} \right) \\ = \sum_{m=k+1}^{[k]} \left( \left(\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k] - l}{[r] - l}\right) \frac{[r] - [k]}{[r] - m} + \left(\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k] - l}{[r] - l}\right) \frac{[r] - [k]}{[r] - k} \right) \\ \times \sum_{t=0}^{m} \binom{n}{t} \left(F(a_n x + b_n)\right)^t \left(1 - F(a_n x + b_n)\right)^{n-t}.$$

Using an asymptotic distribution (see formulas (2.1)–(2.3)) and the fact that when  $n=[r]\rightarrow\infty$ 

$$\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l} \to 0, \quad \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-m} \to 1, \quad \prod_{l=k}^{k-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l} \to 1, \quad \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-k} \to 1,$$

we get

$$\Pr\left(\frac{Y_{k+1}^{(n)} - b_n}{a_n} < x\right) \to \Psi_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad \bullet$$

For fixed k, as  $n = [r] \to \infty$  the asymptotic distribution of the q-analog of the k-th order statistics coincides with the distribution of the simple k-th order statistics. This is because the number n = [r] of points of the projective geometry PG(r-1,q) is exponentially growing in  $r \to \infty$  (q is fixed) so that, for  $i \ll r$ , the points  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_i$  are such that each  $e_i$  is, with probability tending to one, independent of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{i-1}$ . Thus, for k fixed, the k-th minimal order statistics  $Y_k$  is asymptotically equal to the k-th order statistics  $Z_k$ .

It is also interesting to consider the cases when  $k = k_n \to \infty$  as  $n = [r] \to \infty$ , which can be called the cases of *increasing ranks* (see [8]). Two particular rates of increase are of special interest:

(1)  $k_n \to \infty$  and  $k_n/n \to 0$ , which is called the case of *intermediate ranks* (the *intermediate order statistics*);

(2)  $k_n/n \sim \Theta (0 < \Theta < 1)$ , which is called the case of *central ranks* (the *central order statistics*).

If  $\{k_n\}$  is a non-decreasing intermediate order statistics sequence and there are constants  $a_n > 0$  and  $b_n$  such that  $\Pr(a_n(Z_n^{(k_n)} - b_n) \leq x) \to L(x)$  for a nondegenerate distribution L, then L has one of the three forms:

(2.7) 
$$L_1(x) = \begin{cases} \Phi(-a\log(-x)), & x < 0, a > 0, \\ 1, & x \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

(2.8) 
$$L_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \le 0, a > 0, \\ \Phi(a \log x), & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

(2.9) 
$$L_3(x) = \Phi(x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where  $\Phi(\tau)$  is a Gaussian distribution function with zero mean and variance one.

Define a discrete random process  $\omega_r(k)$  as a Markov chain of subsets of elements of the PG(r-1,q), which starts with empty set and for k = 1, 2, ..., n = [r],  $\omega_r(k)$  is obtained by addition to  $\omega_r(k-1)$  a new, randomly chosen element of PG(r-1,q). In [5] (see also [6]) Kordecki and Łuczak have shown that for n = [r] if  $k - r \to \infty$ , then  $\rho(\omega_r(k)) = r$  almost surely, whereas for  $r - k \to \infty$  we have  $\rho(\omega_r(k)) = k$  almost surely. q-analogs of the intermediate order statistics and the central order statistics  $(k/r \to 0 \text{ or } k/r \to \theta, 0 < \theta < 1)$  are expressed by the intermediate ("normal") order statistics, because then  $k/n \to 0$  for n = [r].

Now we define q-analogs of order statistics when  $k \to \infty$ . Let  $Y_k^{(n)}$ , where  $n \to \infty$ ,  $k \to \infty$ , but  $k/n \to 0$ , be a q-analog of an intermediate order statistics. Let  $Y_k^{(n)}$ , where  $k \to \infty$ ,  $n \to \infty$ ,  $k/n \sim \Theta$  ( $0 < \Theta < 1$ ), be a q-analog of a central order statistics.

THEOREM 2.2. For independent random variables with a distribution F(x), a distribution of a q-analog of an intermediate order statistics, where  $r - k \to \infty$  when  $n = [r] \to \infty$  and  $k \to \infty$ , is expressed as

(2.10) 
$$\Pr\left(\frac{Y_{k+1}^{(n)} - b_n}{a_n} < x\right) \to L_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where the functions  $L_i$  are defined by formulas (2.7)–(2.9).

Proof. Consider once again the equation (2.6) in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Because first factors of the products

$$\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}$$

are the greatest and

$$0 < \prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l} < 1,$$

we have

$$\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l} < \frac{[k]-k}{[r]-k}.$$

Similarly, because

$$0 < \frac{[r] - [k]}{[r] - m} < 1,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \sum_{m=k+1}^{[k]} \left(\prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}\right) \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-m} \\ &= \left(\prod_{l=k}^{k} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}\right) \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-(k+1)} + \left(\prod_{l=k}^{k+1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}\right) \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-(k+2)} \\ &+ \left(\prod_{l=k}^{k+2} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}\right) \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-(k+3)} + \dots + \left(\prod_{l=k}^{[k]-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l}\right) \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-[k]} \\ &= \frac{[k]-k}{[r]-k} \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-(k+1)} \left(1 + \frac{[k]-(k+1)}{[r]-(k+2)} + \frac{[k]-(k+1)}{[r]-(k+2)} \frac{[k]-(k+2)}{[r]-(k+3)} \\ &+ \dots + \frac{[k]-(k+1)}{[r]-(k+2)} \frac{[k]-(k+2)}{[r]-(k+3)} \dots \frac{[k]-([k]-1)}{[r]-[k]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{m=k+1}^{[k]} \left( \prod_{l=k}^{m-1} \frac{[k]-l}{[r]-l} \right) \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-m} \\ < \frac{[k]-k}{[r]-k} \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-(k+1)} \left( 1 + \frac{[k]-k}{[r]-[k]} + \left(\frac{[k]-k}{[r]-[k]}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{[k]-k}{[r]-[k]}\right)^{[k]-k-1} \right) \\ = \frac{[k]-k}{[r]-k} \frac{[r]-[k]}{[r]-(k+1)} \frac{1 - \left(([k]-k)/([r]-[k])\right)^{[k]-k-1}}{1 - ([k]-k)/([r]-[k])} \to 0$$

when  $r - k \to \infty$ ,  $r \to \infty$ ,  $k \to \infty$ , because

$$\frac{[k]-k}{[r]-k} = \frac{(q^k-1)/(q-1)-k}{(q^r-1)/(q-1)-k} \approx \frac{q^k}{q^r} = q^{k-r} \to 0,$$

and we obtain

$$\frac{[r] - [k]}{[r] - (k+1)} = \frac{1 - [k]/[r]}{1 - (k+1)/[r]} \to 1$$

because

$$\frac{[k]}{[r]} = \frac{(q^k - 1)/(q - 1)}{(q^r - 1)/(q - 1)} \approx \frac{q^k}{q^r} = q^{k-r} \to 0.$$
$$\frac{k+1}{[r]} = \frac{k+1}{(q^r - 1)/(q - 1)} \approx \frac{k}{q^{r-1}} \to 0.$$

When  $n = [r] \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\frac{[r] - [k]}{[r] - m} \to 1, \quad \prod_{l=k}^{[k]-1} \frac{[k] - l}{[r] - l} \to 1, \quad \frac{[r] - [k]}{[r] - k} \to 1.$$

Then, using an asymptotic distribution (see formulas (2.7)–(2.9)), we get

$$\Pr\left(\frac{Y_{k+1}^{(n)} - b_n}{a_n} < x\right) \to L_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad \bullet$$

Note that from the assumption that  $r - k \rightarrow \infty, r \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$  we infer that

$$\frac{k}{n} = \frac{k}{[r]} = \frac{k}{(q^r - 1)/(q - 1)} \approx \frac{k}{q^{r-1}} \to 0.$$

By Theorem 2.2 we obtain another proof of Fact 3 from [6].

Theorem 2.2 solves a problem of an asymptotic distribution of a q-analog of an intermediate order statistics when  $r - k \rightarrow \infty$ . Problems of q-analog of asymptotic distributions for central and maximal order statistics remain unsolved.

### REFERENCES

- [1] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson, Combinatorial Enumeration, Wiley, 1983.
- [2] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1979.
- [3] A. G. Kelly and J. G. Oxley, Asymptotic properties of random subsets of projective spaces, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 91 (1982), pp. 119–130.
- [4] W. Kordecki, *Random matroids*, Dissertationes Math. 367 (1997).
- [5] W. Kordecki and T. Łuczak, On random subsets of projective spaces, Colloq. Math. 62 (1991), pp. 353–356.
- [6] W. Kordecki and T. Łuczak, On the connectivity of random subsets of projective spaces, Discrete Math. 196 (1999), pp. 207–217.
- [7] W. Kordecki and A. Łyczkowska-Hanćkowiak, Expected value of the minimal basis of random matroid and distributions of q-analogs of order statistics, Electron. Notes Discrete Math. 24 (2006), pp. 117–123.
- [8] M. R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren and H. Rootzén, Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes, Springer, New York 1983.
- [9] J. G. Oxley, Matroid Theory, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1992.
- [10] E. W. Weisstein, CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics, CRC Press, 1999.
- [11] D. J. A. Welsh, Matroid Theory, Academic Press, London 1976.

University of Business in Wrocław Wrocław, Poland *E-mail:* wojciech.kordecki@handlowa.eu Department of Operations Research The Poznań University of Economics Poznań, Poland *E-mail*: anna.lyczkowska-hanckowiak@ae.poznan.pl

Received on 24.5.2009; revised version on 27.11.2009